UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,322	08/19/2003	James M. Kadushin	374.1.0154	3954
WATOV & KII	7590 05/29/200 PNES.P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 247	,	ALEXANDER, LYLE		
Princeton Junction, NJ 08550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/643,322	KADUSHIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lyle A. Alexander	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 Ma</u>	arch 2008					
	action is non-final.					
		secution as to the merits is				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologica in addordance with the practice and i	x parte quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,30,32 and 33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,30 and 32-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · — · ·						
o) oralin(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	priority under 25 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· 17 [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,3-5,30 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 stipulates the sample contains "an indicating" agent that is necessary for the operation of the device. It appears Applicants are claiming the sample as part of the device. Additionally, it is not clear how the protective coating is placed over the indicating agent and complement such that the sample can still react. Is the coating selectively permeable to the indicating reagent?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,3-5,30 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tomita et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 6/22/07 Office action for the teachings of Tomita et al.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/26/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,322 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

Applicants' describe the coating as being permeable to the indicating agent. If such limitations were added in a timely manner, it would clarify this 35 USC 112 second paragraph issue.

Applicants' state Tomita et al. fail to teach the claimed "indicating agent" operatively associated with the substance in the sample. The Office has read the claimed "operatively associated" as meaning any type of associated that would vary from a split second to a permanently bound. The claim language "operatively associated" is sufficiently broad to have been properly read on the UV radiation penetration the cover and association with the various "indicating agents" beneath the cover. Clarification could possibly be achieved if timely amendments were to specify a specific concentration range of the agent with the sample or binding of the agent with the sample prior to substrate exposure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,322 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

/Lyle A Alexander/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797